Impact of positive affect and language familiarity in ID speech and singing on infant attention Goldsmiths Zehra Karademir¹, Jan de Fockert, and Caspar Addyman¹ InfantLab ¹Department of Psychology, Goldsmiths University of London, United Kingdom

BACKGROUND

- Emotion, particularly positive valence, plays an essential role in how caregivers communicate with infants through both speech and song.^{1,2}
- While some studies show that infants may respond more to ID singing than speech,^{3,4} others find no significant differences.^{5,6}
- However, happy and expressive sounds, including familiar melodies, are known to capture infants' attention and influence their emotional responses.^{6,7,8}
- **Research aims:** This study explores whether expressive, positivelyvalenced ID singing holds infants' attention better and elicits more positive facial expressions than ID speech, across two languages—English and French. VS
 - *Hypothesis:* The study hypothesizes that infants will sustain attention longer and display more positive facial expressions during expressive, positively-valenced ID singing, especially in English, compared to ID speech in both English and French.

METHOD

- *Participants:* 26 infants ($M_{age} = 268$ days, range = 177 348 days, 11 females)
- Design: A 2 x 2 x 2 x 2 mixed design:

Within-subjects factors:

- \Rightarrow Stimuli type: ID speech and ID singing
- \Rightarrow Emotional valence: happy-lively and neutral
- \Rightarrow Language: English and French

Between-subjects factor: Age groups

- \Rightarrow 6-8 months (*n* = 12, *M_{age}* = 218.75 days, range 177-271 days)
- \Rightarrow 9-11 months (*n* = 14, *M*_{age} = 310.07 days, range 274-348 days)

Procedure

- A preferential looking paradigm was used, in which infants' visual attention was measured to examine their interest in the stimuli.
- Each session consisted of two blocks, with 8 trials per language condition.
- During each trial, audio recordings of ID speech or singing were played. The audio continued until the infant looked away for two seconds, showing disengagement:





Figure 2. Experimental scene

Figure 1. Study timeline

Stimuli & PRAAT Acoustic Analysis



Behavioural Analysis

Objective: Analyse infant facial responses based on affective cues (lively, neutral), stimulus type (speech, song), and language familiarity (native, foreign). Method:

Video recordings of 12 infants (45% of the data) processed using DaVinci Resolve 18.0.3.

- Silent clips of the first and last 8 seconds of each trial were created (32 clips per infant), following Cirelli & Trehub (2020). • A trained, blinded assistant evaluated infants' facial pleasure (e.g., smiling). **Positive Affect Assessment:**
- Each 16-second clip was coded for positive affect, with a score of 1 for smiling, 0 for no smile (Cirelli & Trehub, 2020). • 20% of clips rated independently by a second coder for reliability. $ICC_{2,1} = .860$ (.791 to .907), p < .001.

RESULTS

- Younger infants tended to have longer listening times (see Table 2).

Age-related effects:

- Preference for songs:
- Infants showed a significant preference for songs over speech.
- Attention to affective cues:
- Infants paid more attention to joyful stimuli compared to neutral stinguli40-•
- Language preference:
- Infants focused more on English stimuli than on French stimuli.
- Interaction effects:
- No significant **interaction** effects found among affective cues, stimulus type, or language.



** p < .01 for all factors.

Age group	Familiar language (English)				Unfamiliar language (French)			
	ID speech		ID song		ID speech		ID song	
	joyful	neutral	joyful	neutral	joyful	neutral	joyful	neutra
Younger N = 12	34.05 (28.80)	18.19 (12.43)	33.12 (25.52)	25.19 (16.70)	17 (17.07)	15.22 (9.36)	25 (21.52)	20.75 (14.71
Older N = 14	14.42 (6.33)	14.65 (9.30)	25.75 (16.90)	20.03 (10.47)	12.49 (7.38)	10.23 (5.32)	23.20 (16.50)	12.99 (8.44)

→ Visual responses to stimuli - Smiling

- Smiling behaviour was analysed in 12 infants during 16-second trials using a 2-way repeated-measures ANOVA.
- **No** significant effect of affective cue, stimulus type, or language on infant smiling behaviour.

- regulating emotions^{3,4}.
- calming infants^{7,10}.
- singer, is key to infants' emotional comfort^{7,8,9}.

- interact with familiarity.

Acknowledgements:

We would like to thank Célia Demarchi and Lauren Stewart for their help in creating the test stimuli. A big thank you to all the babies and parents who took part in the study—your participation made this research possible.

REFERENCES:

- 1. Trainor, L et al. (1997). Infant Behav Dev 20: 383-396 2. Trainor, L et al. (2000). Psychol Sci 11: 188-195.
- 3. Nakata, T et al. (2004). Infant Behav Dev 27: 455-464
- 4. Tsang, C et al. (2017). Child Dev 88: 1207-1215.
- 6. Corbeil, M et al. (2013). Front Psychol 4: Article 372.

RESULTS II

Table 2. Infants looking times to stimuli



• Songs vs. Speech: The study found that infants preferred songs over speech, with music playing a more powerful role in capturing attention and

• Familiarity's Impact: Infants showed a preference for joyful stimuli and focused more on familiar, English-language songs. Familiar melodies, such as those sung by caregivers, were particularly effective in soothing and

• Familiarity Beyond Caregiver's Voice: Even when familiar songs were sung by voices other than the caregiver's, infants still experienced a calming effect⁸. This suggests that the melody itself, rather than the specific

Conclusion and Future directions

• In conclusion, the study demonstrates that infants show a preference for **song** over speech, with familiar melodies playing a key role in emotional regulation, highlighting the importance of music in early caregiving interactions^{11,12}.

Further exploration is needed to understand how emotional valence, affect, and arousal

20th NEUROMUSIC 7. Cirelli, L et al. (2020). Dev Psychol 56: 861-868. CONFERENCE 8. Kragness, H et al. (2022). Dev Sci 25: Article e13149. 1-3 NOV 2024 9 Mehr, S et al. (2016). Psychol Sci 27: 486-501. 10. Mehr. S et al. (2018). Curr Biol 28: 356-368. 5. Costa-Giomi, E et al. (2015). J Res Music Educ 62: 501. 11. Trehub, S. E., et al. (2015). Ann N Y Acad Sci, 1337: 186-192. 12. Trehub, S. (2019). Int J Music Early Child, 14(1): 9-15. **Contact:** Zehra Karademir; Email: <u>z.karademir@gold.ac.uk;</u> X: @zelish_k